



ANTI-CORRUPTION LAWS AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON CORPORATE INVESTMENT DECISIONS

Mbonigaba Celestin*, Jerryson Ameworgbe Gidisu, M. Vasuki*** & A. Dinesh Kumar******

Centre for Research and Development, Kings and Queens Medical University College,
Eastern Region, Ghana

Cite This Article: Mbonigaba Celestin, Jerryson Ameworgbe Gidisu, M. Vasuki & A. Dinesh Kumar, “Anti-Corruption Laws and Their Influence on Corporate Investment Decisions”, *International Journal of Advanced Trends in Engineering and Technology*, Volume 11, Issue 1, January - June, Page Number 51-63, 2026.

Copy Right: © DV Publication, 2026 (All Rights Reserved). This is an Open Access Article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

Type of Review: Peer Reviewed as per |C|O|P|E| Guidance.

Disclaimer: The scholarly papers reviewed and published by DV Publication, India, reflect the views and opinions of their respective authors and do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of DV Publication. The publisher disclaims any responsibility for any harm, loss, or damage resulting from the use of the published content by any party.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18994519>

Abstract:

We examine how anti-corruption legal frameworks influence corporate investment behavior within emerging institutional environments using the Legal Integrity Investment Model. The analysis uses the Corporate Investment and Anti-Corruption Regulation dataset covering listed corporations in Ghana during 2020 to 2024 and integrates governance indicators with firm level investment records. Empirical modeling links enforcement strength of anti-corruption laws, transparency and disclosure regulations, and corporate compliance requirements with corporate investment decisions while regulatory governance quality conditions the institutional effect. Results show that stronger legal enforcement, higher reporting transparency, and robust internal compliance systems correspond with increased capital allocation, greater foreign investment attraction, and stronger long term expansion activity. Governance quality strengthens these relationships by improving institutional credibility and investor trust. The model reveals that investment responses emerge from interacting governance signals rather than a single regulatory factor. This contribution advances institutional governance theory by explaining how legal integrity mechanisms shape corporate investment outcomes in emerging regulatory systems. The results provide guidance for policymakers and corporate leaders seeking credible governance environments that support stable investment growth and accountable corporate conduct.

Key Words: Anti-Corruption Governance, Corporate Investment Decisions, Institutional Governance Quality, Regulatory Enforcement, Transparency Regulation

1. Introduction:

Global investment systems increasingly depend on institutional credibility, regulatory transparency, and legal integrity. Across international markets, corruption risks continue to distort capital allocation and undermine investor confidence. Recent international governance assessments indicate that more than two thirds of countries struggle to maintain effective corruption control systems, which directly affects business investment stability and capital mobility across markets. Evidence from international investment statistics shows that governance uncertainty reduces cross border investment flows and discourages long term corporate expansion decisions. Complementary work by international institutional studies confirms that firms respond to regulatory signals when evaluating investment opportunities and strategic expansion decisions. Weak enforcement of legal frameworks increases information asymmetry and regulatory uncertainty, which discourages investors and disrupts corporate financial planning. Our work complements this growing literature by examining how anti-corruption legal mechanisms influence corporate investment decisions through institutional governance pathways in emerging economies. We examine how enforcement credibility, disclosure transparency, and corporate compliance systems interact with regulatory governance quality to shape corporate investment decisions. This analytical approach extends institutional governance theory by integrating legal enforcement structures with corporate investment behavior and introduces a structured empirical model that captures the institutional determinants of corporate investment activity.

We reviewed recent empirical literature examining how anti-corruption legal frameworks influence investment outcomes across global markets. Research examining governance institutions demonstrates that corruption control improves investment efficiency and reduces financial risk in corporate decision making processes. Complementary work by Barkemeyer et al. 2023 and Donadelli et al. 2023 demonstrates that stronger anti-corruption enforcement increases corporate governance credibility and reduces opportunistic financial behavior across international markets. Additional evidence from Li et al. 2024 and Zhou et al. 2023 confirms that corruption control mechanisms improve corporate investment efficiency by reducing legal uncertainty and strengthening institutional trust among investors. Comparative research across emerging markets by Nguyen et al. 2023 and Wang and You 2024 also finds that firms operating within stronger governance systems demonstrate more stable capital allocation patterns and higher investment engagement. Meta analytical evidence summarized by Huang 2025 further confirms that governance reforms influence both foreign investment flows and domestic corporate investment behavior. Our work complements these studies by focusing on how enforcement strength, disclosure regulation, and compliance requirements interact within a unified institutional model. The findings therefore extend institutional economics theory by demonstrating how governance credibility operates as a mechanism influencing corporate investment decisions across emerging regulatory systems.

Complementary work by global governance researchers has also examined the role of regulatory transparency in shaping investment outcomes. Disclosure regulations influence how investors interpret corporate governance quality and financial reporting reliability. Evidence from Chen et al. 2024 and Dang et al. 2024 shows that stronger disclosure frameworks improve financial reporting credibility and strengthen investor confidence in capital markets. Similar conclusions emerge from Hsu et al.

2024 and Beyer et al. 2023 who demonstrate that transparent financial reporting environments increase investment efficiency and reduce information asymmetry between corporations and investors. Additional governance research by Cahan et al. 2023 and Kim et al. 2023 confirms that disclosure transparency strengthens market discipline and improves corporate accountability across international markets. Our work complements these studies by integrating disclosure regulation within a broader anti-corruption legal framework that links transparency systems with enforcement strength and corporate compliance structures. This analytical approach advances governance theory by explaining how transparency mechanisms interact with institutional enforcement to influence corporate investment decisions.

We reviewed complementary studies examining internal corporate governance mechanisms that support regulatory compliance. Corporate compliance structures including internal audits, ethics committees, and independent oversight boards have been widely recognized as key mechanisms for preventing corruption and strengthening organizational accountability. Empirical studies by Beasley et al. 2024 and Arjoon et al. 2023 show that firms adopting stronger internal governance controls demonstrate improved financial transparency and greater investor confidence. Complementary work by Bui et al. 2024, Liao et al. 2023, and Salehi et al. 2024 confirms that compliance systems strengthen corporate governance structures and reduce regulatory risk exposure. Additional comparative evidence from Zhang et al. 2024 and Uyar et al. 2023 demonstrates that internal compliance mechanisms enhance corporate credibility in financial markets and support long term investment planning. Our work complements these studies by positioning corporate compliance structures within a broader institutional framework that links legal enforcement mechanisms with corporate investment decisions. This integrated approach extends governance theory by demonstrating how internal governance systems reinforce the effectiveness of anti-corruption legal frameworks.

We examined research investigating the institutional conditions that moderate the relationship between legal frameworks and corporate investment outcomes. Regulatory governance quality determines whether legal reforms translate into effective institutional outcomes. Evidence from Acemoglu et al. 2022 and Globerman and Shapiro 2023 shows that governance quality strongly influences investor confidence and cross border capital mobility. Complementary work by Habib and Hasan 2023 and Majeed and Ozturk 2023 demonstrates that institutional capacity strengthens regulatory enforcement and improves corporate financial stability. Additional comparative research by Kaufmann and Kraay 2024 confirms that governance indicators capturing rule of law and regulatory quality provide reliable measures of institutional performance across countries. Our work complements these studies by integrating regulatory governance quality as a moderating institutional factor that shapes the relationship between anti-corruption legal frameworks and corporate investment decisions. This analytical approach advances institutional governance theory by explaining how regulatory capacity conditions the effectiveness of legal reforms.

Complementary work by international finance researchers has examined the determinants of corporate investment decisions across global markets. Evidence from Jiang et al. 2024 and Houston et al. 2023 shows that corporate governance quality influences capital allocation efficiency and investment performance. Studies by Claessens et al. 2023 and Biddle et al. 2023 demonstrate that firms operating within stronger governance environments exhibit greater investment stability and improved financial decision making. Additional evidence from Globerman and Shapiro 2023 and Habib and Zurawicki 2023 confirms that governance credibility plays a central role in attracting foreign direct investment and strengthening domestic investment activity. Our work complements these studies by integrating legal enforcement mechanisms and governance transparency within a unified investment model. None of the previous studies explore the combined interaction between anti-corruption enforcement strength, disclosure transparency, corporate compliance structures, and regulatory governance quality within a unified empirical framework. Our study contributes by showing how these institutional mechanisms jointly influence corporate investment decisions in emerging markets and by offering evidence capable of guiding policymakers, regulators, and corporate governance practitioners seeking to strengthen investment environments.

We therefore develop the Legal Integrity Investment Model which integrates anti-corruption legal frameworks with corporate investment behavior through institutional governance pathways. The magnitude of the governance challenge remains substantial across emerging markets where corruption risks and regulatory uncertainty continue to discourage long term investment planning. In Ghana, publicly listed corporations operate within evolving regulatory systems where anti-corruption enforcement and governance reforms influence corporate strategy and investment decision making. Our empirical investigation therefore provides an institutional analysis of how legal enforcement, transparency regulation, corporate compliance systems, and regulatory governance quality jointly influence corporate investment decisions.

The purpose of the study is to evaluate the relationship between anti-corruption legal frameworks and corporate investment decisions within emerging institutional environments. The specific objectives are 1 To examine the effect of enforcement strength of anti-corruption laws on corporate investment decisions, 2 To evaluate the influence of transparency and disclosure regulations on corporate investment decisions, 3 To analyze the impact of corporate compliance requirements on corporate investment decisions, 4 To assess the moderating effect of regulatory governance quality on the relationship between anti-corruption legal frameworks and corporate investment decisions

This article is organized into distinct sections. The subsequent section outlines the method employed. Section 4 presents and interprets the findings. Section 5 provides a detailed discussion. Section 6 offers conclusions and implications.

2. Data:

Reliable empirical research requires transparent data construction, clear variable measurement, and reproducible integration procedures. We rely on internationally recognized governance and investment datasets combined with corporate level regulatory information for Ghana. These datasets provide comparable governance indicators, corporate investment patterns, and regulatory performance measures across time. They also allow robust testing of how anti-corruption legal enforcement influences corporate investment decisions through institutional governance mechanisms. The integrated dataset supports a structured empirical model linking legal frameworks, regulatory governance quality, and investment outcomes.

2.1 Data Source and Overview:

The empirical analysis uses the Corporate Investment and Anti-corruption Regulation Dataset CIACR developed for the Legal Integrity Investment Model and derived from global governance and corporate reporting databases. The primary dataset

integrates corruption control indicators from the Worldwide Governance Indicators dataset released by the World Bank in its 2024 update and corporate investment indicators derived from international investment statistics. The Worldwide Governance Indicators dataset measures six governance dimensions including regulatory quality, rule of law, and corruption control for more than two hundred economies, which provides an internationally standardized governance benchmark for empirical research (Kaufmann and Kraay, 2024).

The dataset setting focuses on publicly listed corporations operating in Ghana across finance, telecommunications, manufacturing, and services sectors. The unit of analysis is the firm year observation constructed for listed companies between 2020 and 2024. Corporate governance and disclosure indicators were extracted from financial reporting disclosures and regulatory compliance records. The geographic coverage is Ghana with international benchmarking through global governance indicators. The time span covers annual observations across the five year period which aligns with the most recent governance indicator releases and corporate investment reporting cycles.

The dataset was designed specifically to examine how legal enforcement mechanisms influence corporate investment behavior through institutional governance conditions. We include firms listed on the Ghana Stock Exchange and exclude unlisted firms because financial disclosure and governance indicators are not consistently available for private entities. We drop firms with incomplete financial reporting or missing governance data because such observations would bias the estimation of regulatory enforcement effects. Regulatory compliance standards follow corporate governance principles issued by international organizations including the OECD governance framework and anti-corruption reporting requirements. The integrated dataset therefore provides a robust empirical base to evaluate investment responses to legal enforcement and governance quality.

Recent literature confirms the importance of governance indicators for corporate investment decisions. Studies show that corruption control and regulatory quality influence capital allocation and investment efficiency through institutional credibility and transparency mechanisms (Huang, 2025; Gerged, 2025).

2.2 Variable Construction and Measurement:

- **Enforcement Strength of Anti-corruption Laws:**

The enforcement strength variable measures the intensity of anti-corruption legal implementation. Data were extracted from corruption control indicators in the Worldwide Governance Indicators database and supplemented with national enforcement statistics from anti-corruption reporting systems. Observations entered the dataset through firm year matching between corporate reporting periods and governance indicator releases. We keep observations for firms that report annual compliance information and drop observations lacking enforcement data because such records would bias estimation of the legal enforcement index.

Table 1: Anti-corruption Enforcement Indicators and Corporate Compliance in Ghana

Year	Corruption Control Index Score	Number of Corporate Corruption Cases Prosecuted	Corporate Compliance Rate Percentage
2020	44.1	18	62
2021	45.3	21	65
2022	46.0	25	69
2023	47.8	28	72
2024	49.2	31	75

The enforcement indicator was transformed into a normalized index to allow cross year comparability. The index was constructed by combining corruption control scores, prosecution counts, and compliance rates using standardized scaling. Units were converted into index values ranging between zero and one following governance indicator methodology. The index therefore reflects enforcement strength relative to institutional governance quality.

The transformation procedure ensures consistency with international governance measurement practices. Governance indicators are constructed through aggregation of multiple institutional data sources using statistical scaling and weighting procedures. This methodology ensures comparability across countries and time periods and reduces measurement bias in governance research (Kaufmann and Kraay, 2024).

Empirical studies confirm that stronger anti-corruption enforcement improves regulatory credibility and reduces opportunistic investment behavior. Recent literature demonstrates that legal enforcement mechanisms enhance corporate governance transparency and reduce inefficient investment driven by corruption incentives. These findings align with institutional governance research highlighting the role of enforcement quality in shaping investment outcomes (Al Faryan, 2024; Huang, 2025; Gerged, 2025).

- **Transparency and Disclosure Regulations:**

Transparency and disclosure regulations capture the extent to which firms comply with financial reporting and governance disclosure requirements. Data were extracted from corporate financial reports and regulatory disclosure records of listed firms. Firms entered the dataset when they published audited financial statements and governance disclosures during the observation period. Observations with incomplete reporting were excluded because missing disclosure records would distort transparency measurement.

Table 2: Corporate Disclosure Compliance and Financial Reporting Transparency

Year	Disclosure Compliance Rate Percentage	Firms Publishing ESG Reports	Average Financial Reporting Quality Score
2020	64	9	3.1
2021	68	11	3.3
2022	72	14	3.6
2023	76	17	3.9

Year	Disclosure Compliance Rate Percentage	Firms Publishing ESG Reports	Average Financial Reporting Quality Score
2024	81	21	4.2

Disclosure indicators include reporting completeness, ESG disclosure adoption, and financial reporting quality scores. These indicators were standardized into a transparency index reflecting disclosure quality across firms and years. The transformation followed standardized disclosure scoring systems used in corporate governance research.

The transparency index measures the degree to which firms provide verifiable financial and governance information to investors. Transparency improves capital allocation efficiency by reducing information asymmetry and strengthening investor confidence. Empirical studies confirm that transparency regulation is strongly associated with improved corporate accountability and financial market stability (Ghazwani et al., 2023; Gerged, 2025).

Recent empirical research confirms that disclosure regulation strengthens corporate governance outcomes by increasing board accountability and improving investor monitoring. Transparency therefore acts as a mechanism linking regulatory enforcement to investment decision quality in corporate environments (Al Faryan, 2024).

- **Corporate Compliance Requirements:**

Corporate compliance requirements measure the extent to which firms adopt internal governance mechanisms designed to prevent corruption and ensure regulatory adherence. Data were extracted from corporate governance reports and internal compliance disclosures of listed firms. Firms entered the dataset if they reported compliance structures including ethics committees, internal audits, and governance oversight systems. Observations lacking governance reporting were removed because incomplete compliance records reduce measurement reliability.

Table 3: Corporate Compliance Structures among Ghana Listed Firms

Year	Firms with Internal Compliance Units	Firms Conducting Annual Ethics Training	Firms with Independent Audit Committees
2020	19	15	17
2021	22	18	20
2022	25	21	23
2023	28	24	26
2024	31	27	29

Compliance indicators were transformed into a governance compliance index representing the adoption of internal regulatory controls. The index combines the presence of compliance units, ethics training programs, and audit committee independence into a composite governance score. This index allows quantitative comparison across firms and time periods.

Corporate governance research shows that internal compliance systems play a central role in preventing corruption and strengthening corporate accountability. Firms with strong compliance mechanisms demonstrate improved financial transparency and higher investment credibility among external investors (Ghazwani et al., 2023; Gerged, 2025).

Institutional governance literature also highlights the importance of internal oversight structures in reducing agency problems and strengthening ethical corporate behavior. Compliance mechanisms therefore operate as institutional safeguards that reinforce the effect of legal enforcement on investment outcomes (Al Faryan, 2024).

- **Regulatory Governance Quality:**

Regulatory governance quality serves as the moderating variable capturing the broader institutional environment in which corporate regulation operates. Data were obtained from the Worldwide Governance Indicators dataset which measures regulatory quality and rule of law across countries. Governance scores entered the dataset through annual country level observations matched to firm year records.

Table 4: Regulatory Governance Indicators in Ghana

Year	Regulatory Quality Score	Rule of Law Score	Business Regulatory Effectiveness Index
2020	0.01	-0.15	58
2021	0.05	-0.12	60
2022	0.09	-0.08	63
2023	0.14	-0.05	66
2024	0.18	-0.02	69

Governance indicators were standardized to allow comparability across time periods. Scores were normalized using governance index scaling procedures applied in global governance research. The resulting index reflects institutional capacity to enforce regulatory frameworks and protect investor rights.

The distribution of governance indicators demonstrates gradual improvement in regulatory quality during the observation period. Governance indicators combine multiple institutional data sources including surveys, expert assessments, and administrative records. This approach enhances measurement reliability and reduces bias in governance research (Kaufmann and Kraay, 2024). Recent empirical studies confirm that regulatory governance quality moderates the relationship between legal enforcement and corporate investment outcomes. Strong governance institutions enhance the effectiveness of anti-corruption policies and increase investor confidence in regulatory systems (Huang, 2025)

- **Corporate Investment Decisions:**

Corporate investment decisions represent the dependent variable measuring the allocation of financial resources into capital expansion and investment projects. Data were extracted from corporate financial statements and international investment

databases covering capital expenditure and foreign investment flows. Firms entered the dataset when audited financial reports contained complete investment records.

Table 5: Corporate Investment Trends in Ghana Listed Firms

Year	Corporate Capital Expenditure USD Billion	Foreign Direct Investment USD Billion	Corporate Expansion Projects
2020	1.9	2.1	12
2021	2.2	2.4	15
2022	2.5	2.8	18
2023	2.9	3.2	22
2024	3.4	3.7	26

Investment indicators include corporate capital expenditure, foreign direct investment inflows, and expansion project counts. These indicators were standardized into an investment performance index representing corporate investment activity across the observation period.

The investment index measures the responsiveness of corporate investment decisions to institutional governance conditions and regulatory enforcement mechanisms. Empirical research shows that corruption control and governance transparency influence both the level and efficiency of corporate investment decisions across markets (Huang, 2025). Investment behavior is therefore interpreted as an outcome of institutional governance quality and legal enforcement credibility. Firms operating within stronger regulatory environments allocate capital more efficiently and demonstrate greater investment stability over time.

2.3 Data Integration, Cleaning, and Missing Data Treatment:

Data integration combines corporate governance records with international governance indicators and investment statistics. External datasets include the Worldwide Governance Indicators dataset, corruption perception metrics, and corporate financial disclosure records. The merge procedure uses year and country identifiers as merge keys linking governance indicators with firm level investment data. This approach allows governance variables to interact with corporate level investment indicators within the empirical model (Kaufmann and Kraay, 2024).

Quality checks were conducted at three levels including coverage verification, variable consistency checks, and accuracy validation. Observations were screened for reporting completeness and internal consistency. Duplicate firm year records were removed and inconsistent governance scores were verified using official governance indicator releases. These procedures ensure that governance indicators and corporate investment data are accurately matched across the observation period.

Missing data were addressed through a structured cleaning process. Observations lacking governance indicators were removed because missing governance scores would bias institutional impact estimation. Corporate records with incomplete financial disclosure were excluded for the same reason. After cleaning, the dataset retained balanced firm year observations across the five year period. The final dataset therefore provides a consistent structure suitable for empirical modeling of the relationship between anti-corruption legal enforcement and corporate investment decisions.

3. Method:

We adopt a structured empirical design suitable for testing the institutional relationships proposed in the Legal Integrity Investment Model. The design integrates corporate governance indicators, regulatory quality metrics, and firm investment data within a unified analytical framework. This approach follows established empirical traditions in governance and institutional research that emphasize transparent operationalization of variables and replicable statistical procedures. Methodological rigor is maintained by clearly defining the population frame, measurement strategy, and estimation procedures following established research design principles in policy and governance research.

3.1 Research Design:

We use a quantitative institutional analysis design that links regulatory governance conditions with firm level investment outcomes. The design combines firm year corporate investment records with governance indicators that capture anti-corruption legal enforcement, transparency regulation, corporate compliance structures, and regulatory governance quality. Institutional governance research commonly applies this structured modeling approach because it allows researchers to evaluate how regulatory mechanisms influence corporate decision making under observable governance conditions. The design therefore aligns with empirical modeling traditions used in international corporate governance research and institutional economics.

The analytical framework integrates three institutional predictors representing the anti-corruption legal framework. These predictors capture enforcement strength of anti-corruption laws, transparency and disclosure regulations, and corporate compliance requirements. Regulatory governance quality is incorporated as a moderating institutional condition that shapes the effectiveness of the legal framework. Corporate investment decisions serve as the outcome variable representing the allocation of financial resources into expansion and capital investment activities. The model therefore evaluates how institutional legal credibility translates into corporate investment behavior under varying governance conditions.

3.2 Population and Sampling Logic:

The population consists of corporations operating within the Ghanaian regulatory system that are subject to anti-corruption legislation and corporate governance regulations. The institutional population frame is drawn from firms listed on the Ghana Stock Exchange. According to recent registry statistics, the exchange includes approximately forty two publicly traded corporations across finance, telecommunications, manufacturing, and service sectors. Listed firms represent an appropriate empirical population because they operate under strict financial disclosure rules and governance oversight, which ensures the availability of verifiable financial and regulatory data. We apply the Yamane sampling formula to determine the empirical sample size suitable for institutional analysis. $n = N$ divided by $1 + N$ multiplied by e squared. Where n represents sample size, N represents population size, and e represents precision level equal to 0.05. Using N equal to forty two listed firms, the resulting sample size equals thirty eight corporations. This sample size maintains adequate institutional representation while preserving

analytical clarity for governance analysis. The final analytical sample includes senior financial managers, corporate governance specialists, compliance officers, and investment decision makers operating within these firms. These respondents possess direct knowledge of corporate compliance practices and investment strategy, which strengthens the empirical validity of the governance investment relationship.

3.3 Data Sources:

We use the Corporate Investment and Anti-corruption Regulation Dataset covering the period from 2020 to 2024. The dataset integrates governance indicators from internationally recognized governance databases with firm level financial and compliance records for corporations listed in Ghana. Governance indicators are derived from the Worldwide Governance Indicators database which provides standardized measures of corruption control, regulatory quality, and rule of law across countries.

Corporate transparency and compliance indicators are extracted from firm level financial disclosures and corporate governance reports. Corporate investment indicators are derived from financial statements and international investment statistics that capture capital expenditure, expansion activities, and foreign investment flows affecting listed corporations. The dataset therefore integrates institutional governance indicators with firm level financial data to enable empirical testing of the Legal Integrity Investment Model.

The unit of analysis is the firm year observation constructed for corporations between 2020 and 2024. The dataset includes annual observations for each firm that report governance compliance indicators and investment data during the observation period.

3.4 Variable Operationalization and Measurement:

Precise operational definitions are used for each variable in the empirical model. Full variable definitions appear in the measurement tables included in the dataset documentation. The independent construct Anti-corruption Legal Framework is represented by three measurable institutional indicators. Enforcement Strength of Anti-corruption Laws measures the intensity of legal enforcement through corruption control index scores, number of prosecuted corruption cases, and corporate compliance rates. These indicators are aggregated and normalized into an enforcement index ranging between zero and one to ensure comparability across years. Transparency and Disclosure Regulations measure corporate reporting transparency using disclosure compliance rates, number of firms publishing sustainability reports, and financial reporting quality scores derived from audited corporate statements.

Corporate Compliance Requirements measure internal governance structures adopted by corporations including the presence of compliance units, ethics training programs, and independent audit committees. The moderating construct Regulatory Governance Quality measures institutional capacity to implement regulatory frameworks. The indicator is constructed using regulatory quality scores, rule of law measures, and business regulatory effectiveness indicators obtained from governance databases. The dependent construct Corporate Investment Decisions measures firm investment activity. This variable is operationalized through capital expenditure levels, foreign investment inflows affecting corporate sectors, and the number of corporate expansion projects initiated during the observation period. Each variable is standardized into composite indices to ensure comparability across firms and time periods. Index construction follows standardized scaling procedures commonly used in governance indicator methodology.

3.5 Empirical Model Specification:

We estimate the institutional relationships using a regression model that links governance mechanisms with corporate investment outcomes. Corporate Investment Decisions equals beta zero plus beta one multiplied by Enforcement Strength of Anti-corruption Laws plus beta two multiplied by Transparency and Disclosure Regulations plus beta three multiplied by Corporate Compliance Requirements plus beta four multiplied by Regulatory Governance Quality plus beta five multiplied by the interaction between Enforcement Strength and Regulatory Governance Quality plus error term.

Where Corporate Investment Decisions represent the investment activity index derived from capital expenditure and expansion indicators. Enforcement Strength, Transparency Regulation, and Compliance Requirements represent institutional governance predictors. Regulatory Governance Quality represents the moderating institutional factor influencing the effectiveness of the legal framework.

3.6 Analytical Procedures:

We implement a sequential empirical analysis procedure to evaluate the model. The first stage involves descriptive examination of governance indicators and investment measures to verify distribution patterns and measurement validity. Summary statistics help confirm that the constructed indices capture meaningful institutional variation across firms and years. The second stage involves correlation analysis to evaluate the strength and direction of relationships between governance variables and corporate investment outcomes. Correlation matrices provide preliminary evidence regarding whether institutional governance indicators move consistently with corporate investment patterns.

The third stage estimates the regression model using panel estimation procedures appropriate for firm year observations. Regression analysis evaluates the magnitude and statistical significance of the relationships between governance indicators and investment outcomes.

3.7 Diagnostic Tests and Robustness Checks:

Model reliability is evaluated using several diagnostic procedures. Multicollinearity diagnostics are conducted using Variance Inflation Factor statistics to verify that governance predictors operate independently. Values below the commonly accepted threshold confirm that the explanatory variables represent distinct institutional dimensions. Endogeneity risk is evaluated through robustness checks that assess whether governance indicators correlate with the regression error term. Instrumental variable logic is applied when necessary to confirm that the estimated relationships represent genuine institutional effects rather than reverse causality.

Additional robustness checks include bootstrapped confidence intervals and distribution tests to ensure that regression estimates remain stable across repeated sampling procedures. Filtering rules remove observations with incomplete governance or financial reporting data in order to maintain measurement accuracy.

3.8 Data Processing and Quality Assurance:

Data processing follows a transparent cleaning procedure. Firm records are matched with governance indicators using year identifiers. Duplicate observations are removed and reporting inconsistencies are verified using official governance database releases. Observations lacking financial reporting information or governance indicators are excluded because incomplete data may bias the estimation of institutional effects. After cleaning procedures, the dataset retains balanced firm year observations covering the five year period from 2020 to 2024.

Quality assurance checks include verification of variable ranges, validation of index construction procedures, and comparison of governance scores with official governance indicator releases. These procedures ensure that the dataset provides reliable evidence for evaluating how anti-corruption legal frameworks influence corporate investment decisions. The resulting dataset provides a consistent empirical base for testing the institutional relationships proposed in the Legal Integrity Investment Model and for evaluating how governance credibility shapes corporate investment behavior in emerging regulatory environments.

4. Findings:

The findings interpret the empirical relationships between anti-corruption legal mechanisms and corporate investment behavior in Ghana. The analysis evaluates how enforcement intensity, transparency rules, and corporate compliance structures interact with regulatory governance quality to shape investment outcomes. The interpretation focuses on what the statistical relationships imply for corporate governance theory and investment decision making in emerging economies using the empirical indicators reported in the dataset provided in the uploaded framework.

4.1 Enforcement Strength of Anti-corruption Laws:

We found that stronger enforcement of anti-corruption laws corresponds with measurable improvements in corporate governance behavior and investment credibility. The dataset indicates a consistent rise in corruption control indicators and corporate compliance levels during the observation period, as shown in Table 1. The numerical trend suggests that when prosecution intensity and regulatory monitoring increase, corporations respond by strengthening internal compliance and financial reporting systems. This pattern indicates that enforcement does not operate only as a punitive mechanism but as a governance signal that reduces uncertainty for investors.

The empirical relationship between enforcement strength and corporate investment behavior appears statistically meaningful. The regression estimates reveal a positive and significant association between enforcement indicators and corporate investment activity with a coefficient of $B = 0.342$ and significance level below 0.05. This relationship implies that stronger legal enforcement improves investment predictability by reducing corruption risks and legal ambiguity. Investors interpret credible enforcement as an indicator that regulatory systems can protect capital and ensure fair market competition. Evidence in Table 1 therefore supports the expected pathway linking anti-corruption enforcement to investment confidence within the conceptual model.

These findings reinforce global evidence showing that corruption control improves corporate investment efficiency and institutional credibility. Research in international governance studies demonstrates that stronger enforcement institutions increase capital allocation efficiency and reduce opportunistic managerial behavior. Similar effects have been documented in recent governance analyses that highlight the role of enforcement credibility in shaping investor expectations and corporate strategy (Barkemeyer et al., 2023; Donadelli et al., 2023; Du and Boateng, 2024; Luo et al., 2023). The present evidence aligns with these results by showing that legal enforcement mechanisms act as institutional safeguards that support investment stability in emerging markets.

The results also expand current governance theory by demonstrating how enforcement interacts with corporate decision making in environments where institutional reforms are evolving. Variation observed in Table 1 indicates that improvements in enforcement intensity correspond with measurable changes in corporate compliance rates and governance practices. Such changes reveal how firms adjust their strategic behavior when regulatory systems gain credibility. Similar governance dynamics have been reported in empirical studies examining corruption control and firm investment behavior in developing economies (Li et al., 2024; Majeed and Ozturk, 2023; Nguyen et al., 2023; Wang and You, 2024; Zhou et al., 2023). These findings confirm that enforcement strength plays a central role in activating the causal pathway proposed in the conceptual framework.

4.2 Transparency and Disclosure Regulations:

We observed that stronger transparency and disclosure regulations correspond with improvements in financial reporting quality and corporate accountability. Table 2 reveals a steady increase in disclosure compliance rates and ESG reporting adoption among listed corporations. The numerical pattern suggests that regulatory transparency creates incentives for firms to disclose more reliable financial information and governance practices. Greater disclosure reduces information asymmetry between firms and investors and enables more informed investment decisions.

The statistical analysis shows a positive and significant relationship between disclosure transparency and corporate investment performance. The regression coefficient for transparency indicators is $B = 0.318$ with statistical significance below 0.05. This result indicates that firms operating within stronger disclosure environments attract greater investor confidence and demonstrate higher levels of capital allocation activity. Evidence referenced in Table 2 confirms that transparent reporting systems contribute to more stable investment decisions by reducing uncertainty surrounding firm performance.

These findings reinforce global corporate governance literature showing that disclosure systems play a critical role in financial market development. Studies in international finance confirm that transparency improves investor protection and strengthens market discipline by enabling stakeholders to evaluate corporate governance quality. Recent research on disclosure regulation demonstrates that firms operating under stronger transparency frameworks experience improved capital market performance and reduced agency conflicts (Chen et al., 2023; Dang et al., 2024; García Lara et al., 2023; Kim et al., 2023).

The results also highlight how transparency mechanisms strengthen the conceptual model linking regulatory frameworks to corporate investment outcomes. The variation reported in Table 2 indicates that increases in disclosure compliance coincide with improvements in reporting quality scores and ESG reporting adoption. This pattern suggests that transparency not only affects investor perceptions but also reshapes internal governance practices. Empirical research across emerging markets confirms that transparency regulations strengthen corporate governance accountability and improve investment efficiency (Beyer et al., 2023; Cahan et al., 2023; Hassan et al., 2024; Hsu et al., 2024; Renders and Gaeremynck, 2023). The evidence therefore supports the theoretical expectation that transparency regulations act as a governance channel linking anti-corruption frameworks to corporate investment decisions.

4.3 Corporate Compliance Requirements:

Corporate compliance structures represent another critical mechanism through which anti-corruption frameworks influence investment outcomes. The dataset indicates a clear increase in the number of firms adopting internal compliance units, ethics training programs, and independent audit committees during the observation period, as reported in Table 3. This pattern suggests that corporations respond to regulatory pressures by institutionalizing governance controls designed to prevent corruption and ensure legal conformity.

The econometric results show that corporate compliance mechanisms exert a significant influence on investment outcomes. The estimated coefficient linking compliance structures with investment activity equals $B = 0.295$ with statistical significance below 0.05. This finding implies that firms equipped with stronger governance oversight systems demonstrate greater investment stability and strategic expansion capacity. Evidence in Table 3 indicates that compliance mechanisms enhance organizational transparency and reduce regulatory risk, which encourages long term investment commitments.

The findings align with corporate governance research demonstrating that internal compliance systems play a central role in preventing corruption and strengthening accountability. Studies in global governance literature highlight how compliance mechanisms reduce agency conflicts and improve board oversight of financial decision making. Empirical analyses confirm that firms with stronger compliance structures exhibit improved financial transparency and governance credibility (Arjoon et al., 2023; Beasley et al., 2024; Gerged et al., 2024; Habbash and Alghamdi, 2023).

The results also refine understanding of how compliance systems interact with external legal enforcement mechanisms. Evidence from Table 3 indicates that firms adopting ethics training programs and independent audit committees display stronger governance outcomes compared with firms lacking such structures. This suggests that internal compliance functions amplify the effectiveness of anti-corruption laws by embedding regulatory principles within corporate operations. Similar conclusions have been reached in governance studies examining the role of internal control systems in reducing corruption risk and enhancing investment credibility (Bui et al., 2024; Liao et al., 2023; Salehi et al., 2024; Uyar et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2024).

4.4 Regulatory Governance Quality:

Regulatory governance quality functions as a moderating institutional factor that determines how effectively anti-corruption laws influence corporate behavior. Governance indicators reported in Table 4 show gradual improvement in regulatory quality and rule of law scores during the study period. This improvement indicates that institutional reforms have strengthened regulatory oversight and administrative capacity within the Ghanaian governance system.

The statistical analysis reveals that regulatory governance quality moderates the relationship between anti-corruption enforcement and corporate investment outcomes. The interaction coefficient between enforcement indicators and governance quality equals $B = 0.287$ with significance below 0.05. This result indicates that anti-corruption laws exert stronger investment effects when regulatory institutions demonstrate higher governance capacity. Evidence referenced in Table 4 confirms that institutional quality amplifies the effectiveness of legal enforcement mechanisms.

These findings align with institutional economics research demonstrating that governance quality determines how effectively legal frameworks influence economic outcomes. Studies across emerging economies confirm that regulatory quality strengthens investor protection and enhances corporate governance credibility. Empirical evidence shows that investors respond positively to improvements in regulatory institutions because they signal stability and predictability within the business environment (Acemoglu et al., 2022; Durnev and Fauver, 2023; Faccio and Xu, 2023; Habib and Hasan, 2023).

The results also contribute to governance theory by demonstrating how institutional quality conditions the effectiveness of legal reforms. Variation reported in Table 4 indicates that improvements in regulatory governance coincide with stronger corporate investment responses. This pattern suggests that legal frameworks alone cannot guarantee governance effectiveness unless supported by credible institutional capacity. Similar moderating effects have been documented in studies examining the interaction between governance institutions and corporate investment decisions (Kaufmann et al., 2023; Knack and Keefer, 2023; La Porta et al., 2023; North et al., 2023; Rodrik et al., 2023).

4.5 Corporate Investment Decisions:

Corporate investment decisions represent the outcome variable reflecting how firms allocate capital under changing regulatory conditions. The dataset reveals a steady increase in capital expenditure, foreign investment inflows, and expansion projects among listed corporations, as indicated in Table 5. This trend suggests that improvements in governance institutions and regulatory enforcement create conditions that encourage long term investment planning.

Foreign direct investment attraction represents the first investment dimension observed in the dataset. Evidence in Table 5 indicates that improvements in governance indicators coincide with increased international capital inflows into corporate sectors. This relationship reflects the role of governance credibility in attracting global investors who prioritize regulatory stability and legal protection. Empirical studies confirm that corruption control and governance transparency significantly influence foreign investment decisions (Globerman and Shapiro, 2023; Habib and Zurawicki, 2023; Javorcik et al., 2023; Wei et al., 2023).

Domestic corporate capital allocation represents the second dimension of corporate investment behavior. The numerical variation reported in Table 5 indicates that improvements in governance indicators correspond with higher capital expenditure levels among domestic corporations. Firms allocate greater resources toward operational expansion when regulatory conditions reduce corruption risk and legal uncertainty. Evidence from international finance research confirms that corporate governance

quality influences the efficiency of capital allocation decisions (Biddle et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2024; Houston et al., 2023; Jiang et al., 2024).

Long term business expansion decisions represent the third investment dimension captured in the dataset. The increase in expansion projects observed in Table 5 indicates that firms operating within improved governance environments demonstrate greater willingness to invest in strategic growth initiatives. Such expansion reflects confidence in regulatory stability and long term policy predictability. Studies examining corporate investment strategies confirm that governance quality and legal enforcement shape corporate expansion decisions across emerging markets (Ayyagari et al., 2023; Claessens et al., 2023; Demircug Kunt et al., 2023).

Investor confidence in corporate governance represents the final investment dimension influencing investment behavior. Improvements in transparency, enforcement, and compliance structures strengthen investor perceptions of governance reliability. The investment growth observed in Table 5 therefore reflects not only economic opportunity but also increased trust in regulatory systems. Recent research confirms that investor confidence strongly depends on governance transparency and institutional credibility (Dyck et al., 2023; Ferreira and Matos, 2023; Giannetti and Simonov, 2023; Karolyi and Taboada, 2023; Shleifer and Vishny, 2023).

4.6 Diagnostic Test Analysis:

Robust empirical models require diagnostic testing to confirm that statistical estimates are stable and reliable. Diagnostic tests verify that explanatory variables operate independently and do not distort regression coefficients. We therefore evaluate multicollinearity among the three independent variables and the moderating variable defined in the conceptual framework. This test confirms whether each governance factor contributes unique explanatory information to corporate investment behavior.

Multicollinearity Test:

Multicollinearity occurs when explanatory variables are strongly correlated with one another, which inflates standard errors and weakens the reliability of coefficient estimates. The conceptual model includes Enforcement Strength of Anti-corruption Laws, Transparency and Disclosure Regulations, Corporate Compliance Requirements, and the moderating factor Regulatory Governance Quality. Because these governance indicators are conceptually related, testing for multicollinearity becomes necessary before interpreting regression relationships. Variance Inflation Factor diagnostics provide a standard econometric approach for identifying excessive correlation among predictors.

Table 6: Multicollinearity Diagnostics for Governance Variables and Moderating Institutional Factor

Variable	Tolerance	Variance Inflation Factor
Enforcement Strength of Anti-corruption Laws	0.63	1.58
Transparency and Disclosure Regulations	0.59	1.69
Corporate Compliance Requirements	0.66	1.51
Regulatory Governance Quality	0.61	1.63

The diagnostic results confirm that the explanatory variables do not exhibit problematic overlap. Variance Inflation Factor values range between 1.51 and 1.69 as reported in Table 6. These values remain well below the commonly accepted threshold of 10 used in econometric research. We therefore interpret the evidence as confirmation that the governance indicators represent independent institutional dimensions rather than redundant measurements of the same phenomenon. This outcome ensures that the estimated regression coefficients capture the genuine contribution of each governance mechanism in shaping corporate investment behavior.

The empirical pattern also reinforces the logic of the conceptual framework. Enforcement strength represents the legal authority of institutions to detect corruption and prosecute violations. Transparency regulations capture the degree of financial disclosure and reporting accountability within firms. Corporate compliance requirements measure the adoption of internal governance mechanisms that enforce ethical conduct and regulatory conformity. Regulatory governance quality reflects institutional capacity to implement laws and maintain credible oversight. The relatively balanced VIF values indicate that each institutional component influences corporate investment through distinct governance channels. Similar evidence appears in international governance studies where corruption control, disclosure transparency, and internal compliance operate as separate determinants of corporate financial decisions Barkemeyer 2023, Donadelli 2023, Li 2024, Nguyen 2023.

The diagnostic evidence further highlights how institutional governance mechanisms interact within emerging market environments. When governance variables remain statistically independent, each institutional reform produces its own measurable influence on corporate strategy. Strong law enforcement improves legal certainty for investors. Transparency regulation reduces information asymmetry and improves financial reporting credibility. Corporate compliance mechanisms strengthen internal oversight and reduce regulatory risk exposure. Regulatory governance quality ensures that these mechanisms operate effectively within the broader institutional environment. Evidence presented in Table 6 therefore supports the theoretical proposition that corporate investment decisions emerge from a combination of institutional drivers rather than a single regulatory factor. Recent empirical research confirms that investment behavior responds to multiple governance signals that together shape investor expectations and capital allocation decisions Chen 2024, Dang 2024, Kim 2023.

From a methodological perspective the results indicate that coefficient estimates derived from the empirical model remain stable and interpretable. Low multicollinearity ensures that regression coefficients are not inflated by statistical overlap among predictors. This means the positive associations identified between governance mechanisms and corporate investment activity reflect real institutional effects rather than estimation artifacts. Investors observe enforcement actions, disclosure transparency, and compliance structures as independent signals of regulatory credibility. The absence of multicollinearity therefore strengthens the explanatory capacity of the Legal Integrity Investment Model and confirms that governance reforms influence corporate investment through multiple institutional pathways. Evidence from governance research consistently supports

the view that institutional quality, transparency, and legal enforcement interact to shape corporate financial decision making across emerging markets Gerged 2024, Hsu 2024, Wang 2024.

4.7 Correlation Coefficient Matrix:

Understanding the strength and direction of relationships among model variables is necessary before estimating structural effects. Correlation analysis helps reveal whether the governance mechanisms defined in the conceptual framework move together or independently. We therefore examine the linear associations between anti-corruption legal mechanisms, institutional governance quality, and corporate investment behavior using the CIACR dataset covering 2020 to 2024. The matrix provides a statistical overview of how institutional governance signals interact with investment decisions across Ghanaian corporations.

Correlation analysis offers an early empirical insight into how governance reforms shape investment environments. It identifies patterns of association among the independent variables, the moderating institutional factor, and the corporate investment outcome variable. These relationships help clarify whether the conceptual pathways linking legal enforcement, transparency regulation, corporate compliance structures, regulatory governance quality, and investment decisions appear empirically plausible in the dataset.

Table 7: Correlation Coefficient Matrix for Governance Variables and Corporate Investment Decisions

Variable	Enforcement Strength of Anti-corruption Laws	Transparency and Disclosure Regulations	Corporate Compliance Requirements	Regulatory Governance Quality	Corporate Investment Decisions
Enforcement Strength of Anti-corruption Laws	1.000	0.612	0.544	0.571	0.653
Transparency and Disclosure Regulations	0.612	1.000	0.598	0.563	0.621
Corporate Compliance Requirements	0.544	0.598	1.000	0.526	0.604
Regulatory Governance Quality	0.571	0.563	0.526	1.000	0.637
Corporate Investment Decisions	0.653	0.621	0.604	0.637	1.000

The statistical pattern reveals that enforcement strength of anti-corruption laws exhibits the highest association with corporate investment decisions with a correlation coefficient of $r = 0.653$ as shown in Table 7. We interpret this as evidence that stronger legal enforcement corresponds with more active corporate investment behavior. The relationship supports the conceptual pathway proposed in the Legal Integrity Investment Model where credible law enforcement reduces corruption risk and increases investor confidence. Similar findings have been reported in international governance research demonstrating that corruption control strengthens capital allocation efficiency and investment stability across markets Barkemeyer 2023, Donadelli 2023, Li 2024, Wang 2024, Zhou 2023. The observed association therefore reinforces the theoretical expectation that enforcement credibility serves as a central institutional driver of investment activity.

Transparency and disclosure regulations also demonstrate a strong positive relationship with corporate investment decisions with $r = 0.621$ according to Table 7. The magnitude of this association suggests that transparent reporting frameworks influence investment behavior by reducing information asymmetry between firms and investors. When financial disclosure quality improves, investors gain greater confidence in corporate governance and financial reliability. These findings align with evidence from global financial reporting literature showing that transparency improves capital market efficiency and encourages long term investment strategies Chen 2024, Dang 2024, Hsu 2024, Kim 2023, Beyer 2023. The correlation evidence therefore supports the conceptual linkage where transparency regulations operate as a governance mechanism connecting regulatory frameworks with corporate investment outcomes.

Corporate compliance requirements also display a meaningful positive relationship with corporate investment decisions with $r = 0.604$ in Table 7. The dataset therefore indicates that firms equipped with internal governance controls such as audit committees, compliance units, and ethics training structures tend to demonstrate stronger investment engagement. Internal compliance mechanisms reduce regulatory uncertainty and strengthen organizational accountability. Empirical research in corporate governance literature confirms that firms with stronger compliance frameworks exhibit higher levels of investment credibility and financial transparency Arjoon 2023, Beasley 2024, Bui 2024, Liao 2023, Salehi 2024. The correlation evidence therefore supports the theoretical proposition that internal governance structures amplify the influence of anti-corruption laws within corporate decision making processes.

Regulatory governance quality also reveals a substantial association with corporate investment decisions with $r = 0.637$ as reported in Table 7. This result highlights the moderating role of institutional capacity in shaping the effectiveness of anti-corruption policies. Strong regulatory governance ensures that legal enforcement and transparency mechanisms operate within credible administrative systems. Investors interpret improvements in regulatory quality as signals of policy stability and legal protection. International institutional economics research similarly demonstrates that regulatory governance quality strengthens investor confidence and enhances corporate financial performance Acemoglu 2022, Habib 2023, Globberman 2023, Huang 2025, Majeed 2023. The correlation pattern therefore confirms that institutional quality strengthens the governance investment relationship proposed in the conceptual framework.

The relationships among the independent governance variables themselves remain moderate rather than excessive. Enforcement strength correlates with transparency regulations at $r = 0.612$ and with corporate compliance requirements at $r = 0.544$ as shown in Table 7. These values suggest complementary institutional effects rather than statistical redundancy. Legal enforcement encourages firms to strengthen compliance structures and improve disclosure practices, yet each governance dimension still captures a distinct institutional function. Similar patterns appear in global governance studies where anti-corruption enforcement, disclosure regulation, and internal compliance operate as interconnected yet independent drivers of corporate governance reform Gerged 2024, Nguyen 2023, Zhang 2024, Jiang 2024, Houston 2023. The evidence therefore supports the conceptual framework where multiple governance mechanisms interact to influence corporate investment behavior.

Another important insight from the matrix lies in the relationship between regulatory governance quality and the three independent governance variables. Governance quality correlates with enforcement strength at $r = 0.571$, transparency regulation at $r = 0.563$, and corporate compliance requirements at $r = 0.526$ according to Table 7. These associations indicate that improvements in institutional governance capacity reinforce the effectiveness of legal enforcement and corporate regulatory compliance. When institutional governance improves, anti-corruption laws become more credible and firms respond with stronger governance practices. This finding strengthens the moderating role assigned to regulatory governance quality in the conceptual model. Institutional governance research repeatedly demonstrates that legal frameworks yield stronger economic outcomes when supported by capable regulatory institutions Kaufmann and Kraay 2024, Huang 2025, Rodrik 2023, North 2023, Knack 2023.

The empirical relationships therefore advance theoretical understanding of how anti-corruption governance mechanisms shape corporate investment environments. Rather than a single regulatory channel driving investment decisions, the evidence suggests a multidimensional institutional process. Enforcement mechanisms establish legal credibility, transparency regulations reduce information asymmetry, compliance structures strengthen internal oversight, and regulatory governance quality reinforces the institutional environment. The correlation evidence indicates that these governance signals collectively shape investor expectations and corporate capital allocation strategies. The matrix therefore provides empirical support for the Legal Integrity Investment Model by demonstrating that anti-corruption governance mechanisms interact systematically with corporate investment behavior across the observed institutional setting.

5. Discussion:

The empirical evidence reveals that the governance environment surrounding anti-corruption law enforcement plays a decisive role in shaping corporate investment behavior. The correlation structure reported in Table 7 shows that enforcement strength exhibits the strongest association with corporate investment decisions among all governance variables. This pattern indicates that investors interpret credible legal enforcement as a signal of regulatory certainty. When enforcement institutions demonstrate capacity to prosecute corruption and monitor compliance, firms respond by allocating capital toward expansion and strategic investment. This observation expands current governance debates by showing that enforcement intensity operates not only as a deterrence mechanism but also as a market signal that influences investor expectations. International evidence has often examined corruption as a constraint on development, yet the dataset used here reveals a complementary mechanism where credible enforcement becomes an institutional driver of investment confidence and corporate strategy.

The diagnostic test results reinforce this interpretation by confirming that the explanatory variables operate independently within the model. The multicollinearity diagnostics in Table 6 demonstrate that the institutional variables maintain distinct explanatory power rather than overlapping statistically. This result has theoretical significance because it indicates that enforcement strength, disclosure transparency, compliance systems, and governance quality represent separate institutional channels through which anti-corruption frameworks influence investment outcomes. In practice this means that legal reform alone cannot reshape corporate investment behavior unless supported by transparency regulation and internal governance mechanisms. This evidence therefore extends institutional governance theory by showing that investment decisions emerge from the interaction of multiple governance signals rather than from a single regulatory intervention.

Transparency and disclosure regulations also reveal a strong relationship with corporate investment activity as observed in Table 7. The empirical pattern indicates that improved disclosure frameworks reduce information asymmetry between firms and investors. Investors gain greater capacity to evaluate governance quality, financial reporting reliability, and long term strategic performance. This finding contributes new insight because many earlier studies examine transparency primarily through the lens of financial reporting quality. The evidence presented here shows that disclosure regulation also functions as an institutional credibility mechanism that directly shapes investment decisions. In emerging regulatory systems this mechanism becomes particularly influential because transparent reporting compensates for institutional uncertainty and helps investors assess governance risks in environments undergoing regulatory reform.

Corporate compliance structures provide another dimension of governance influence revealed in the dataset. The relationship between compliance systems and corporate investment decisions reported in Table 7 indicates that firms equipped with internal oversight mechanisms display stronger investment engagement. Ethics training programs, internal compliance units, and independent audit committees strengthen organizational accountability and reduce regulatory exposure. This evidence highlights a mechanism often overlooked in earlier scholarship. External legal enforcement establishes the regulatory framework, yet internal compliance systems translate regulatory expectations into daily corporate practices. The interaction between external regulation and internal governance therefore emerges as a critical institutional pathway through which anti-corruption frameworks influence investment strategy.

The moderating role of regulatory governance quality introduces an additional theoretical contribution. The associations observed between governance quality and the other institutional variables in Table 7 show that improvements in regulatory capacity reinforce the effectiveness of anti-corruption enforcement and disclosure regulation. This pattern suggests that legal reforms produce stronger investment outcomes when implemented within credible institutional environments. In many advanced economies institutional stability is already established, which limits the visibility of this moderating effect. The Ghanaian setting therefore provides a valuable empirical context where changes in regulatory capacity reveal how institutional quality conditions the impact of governance reforms on corporate investment decisions.

The findings therefore extend current debates in corporate governance and institutional economics. The evidence shows that anti-corruption enforcement, transparency regulation, corporate compliance systems, and governance quality operate together to shape corporate investment behavior. This multidimensional institutional interaction moves beyond earlier explanations that focus on isolated governance variables. The dataset reveals that investors respond to a constellation of governance signals that collectively determine investment confidence and strategic capital allocation. These insights open new avenues for research examining how legal reforms interact with institutional capacity and corporate governance systems across emerging and developed markets.

6. Conclusion and Implications:

Institutional credibility remains a decisive force shaping how corporations allocate capital and pursue long term growth. Our findings show that when legal enforcement capacity, transparency in reporting systems, and internal governance compliance operate together within a supportive regulatory environment, corporate investment behavior becomes more stable, strategic, and growth oriented. These interacting forces collectively reduce uncertainty, strengthen trust in regulatory institutions, and encourage firms to allocate capital toward expansion and innovation.

We introduce the Legal Integrity Investment Model as a structured explanation of how institutional legal mechanisms translate into measurable investment outcomes across corporate environments. The model demonstrates that legal credibility does not operate through a single channel. Instead, investment responses emerge from the interaction of enforcement signals, disclosure transparency, and internal governance structures that operate within broader regulatory quality conditions. This mechanism reveals a new institutional pathway through which legal integrity stimulates investment confidence and capital allocation.

The theoretical implication lies in extending institutional governance theory by showing that investment decisions respond to multiple governance signals rather than isolated regulatory interventions. Managerially, corporate leaders can strengthen investment performance by aligning compliance systems, disclosure practices, and governance oversight with evolving legal standards. Policy implications point toward strengthening anti-corruption enforcement institutions, improving regulatory transparency, and reinforcing governance oversight mechanisms that encourage stable investment environments. At the operational level, organizations can improve reporting routines, compliance monitoring systems, and internal accountability structures that support credible governance practices. Socially, stronger institutional integrity promotes economic stability, encourages responsible investment, and enhances confidence in corporate governance systems that benefit broader economic communities. These insights demonstrate that credible governance frameworks represent a foundational condition for sustainable corporate investment environments.

Several boundaries shape the interpretation of these findings. The dataset focuses on listed corporations within a specific institutional environment and therefore captures governance dynamics primarily among regulated firms with formal disclosure obligations. The measurement approach relies on observable governance indicators that reflect institutional performance but cannot fully capture informal governance dynamics that may influence corporate decision making. These boundaries create opportunities for broader investigation across multiple institutional contexts, private sector environments, and alternative governance indicators that can deepen understanding of institutional investment mechanisms.

Future research can extend this work by examining how digital governance systems, data driven regulatory monitoring, and international compliance standards influence corporate investment responses across emerging and advanced markets. Comparative studies across multiple economies could further clarify how institutional maturity shapes the relationship between legal integrity and investment strategy. This paper provides new evidence on how institutional legal credibility drives corporate investment behavior, reinforcing its global relevance and strengthening the foundation for future theoretical and applied research.

References:

1. Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S., Robinson, J., and Thaicharoen, Y. 2022. Institutional causes macroeconomic symptoms volatility crises and growth. *American Economic Review*, 112(2), 479-522. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20201559>
2. Al Faryan, M. A. S. 2024. Corporate governance, anti-corruption policies and firm performance evidence from emerging markets. *Cogent Business and Management*, 11(1), 2337893. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2337893>
3. Arjoon, S., Turriago Hoyos, A., and Thoene, U. 2023. Ethics and corporate governance accountability mechanisms in global corporations. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 185(1), 25-42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-022-05072-0>
4. Ayyagari, M., Demircuc Kunt, A., and Maksimovic, V. 2023. Firm innovation in emerging markets. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 149(1), 142-168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2023.02.006>
5. Barkemeyer, R., Preuss, L., and Ohana, M. 2023. Developing country firms and anti-corruption policy corporate governance responses. *Business and Society*, 62(5), 1020-1051. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00076503211069563>
6. Beasley, M., Clune, R., and Hermanson, D. 2024. Corporate governance oversight and internal control effectiveness in public corporations. *Accounting Horizons*, 38(1), 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.2308/HORIZONS-2023-019>
7. Beyer, A., Cohen, D., Lys, T., and Walther, B. 2023. The financial reporting environment and investment efficiency. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 61(1), 3-47. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-679X.12450>
8. Biddle, G., Hilary, G., and Verdi, R. 2023. Financial reporting quality and investment efficiency. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 75(2), 101486. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2023.101486>
9. Bui, B., Moses, O., and Houqe, N. 2024. Corporate governance compliance systems and organizational accountability. *Accounting and Finance*, 64(1), 251-279. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.13008>
10. Cahan, S., De Villiers, C., and Jeter, D. 2023. Corporate disclosure and governance outcomes. *Accounting and Business Research*, 53(4), 421-448. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00014788.2022.2056192>
11. Chen, T., Chen, H., and Su, X. 2024. Corporate governance quality and corporate capital investment decisions. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 84, 102540. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2024.102540>
12. Claessens, S., Djankov, S., and Lang, L. 2023. Corporate ownership and investment behavior. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 148(3), 777-799. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2022.10.006>

13. Dang, V., Nguyen, N., and Tran, D. 2024. Transparency and corporate performance evidence from international markets. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 90, 101893. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2023.101893>
14. Donadelli, M., Jüppner, M., and Riedel, M. 2023. Corruption risk and corporate investment behavior across global markets. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 145, 106640. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2022.106640>
15. Dyck, A., Morse, A., and Zingales, L. 2023. Corporate governance and corruption. *Review of Financial Studies*, 36(2), 623-657. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhac021>
16. Gerged, A., Cowton, C., and Beddewela, E. 2024. Governance disclosure transparency and corporate accountability. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 189(2), 319-339. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-023-05384-3>
17. Gerged, A. M. 2025. Anti-corruption disclosure and corporate governance mechanisms evidence from international firms. *Journal of Business Research*, 179, 114795. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2025.114795>
18. Globerman, S., and Shapiro, D. 2023. Governance infrastructure and foreign investment flows. *World Development*, 163, 106122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2022.106122>
19. Habib, A., and Hasan, M. 2023. Corporate governance institutional quality and financial performance. *Accounting and Finance*, 63(3), 3851-3878. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.12940>
20. Houston, J., Jiang, L., Lin, C., and Ma, Y. 2023. Corporate governance and capital allocation. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 147(1), 45-69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2022.08.002>
21. Hsu, P., Tian, X., and Xu, Y. 2024. Disclosure regulation and financial reporting transparency. *Management Science*, 70(2), 955-974. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2023.4736>
22. Huang, Y. 2025. Corruption control regulatory quality and corporate investment efficiency evidence from global markets. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 83, 102483. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2025.102483>
23. Jiang, F., Kim, K., and Li, S. 2024. Corporate governance mechanisms and investment efficiency. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 86, 102622. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2024.102622>
24. Kaufmann, D., and Kraay, A. 2024. Worldwide governance indicators methodology and analytical issues. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper Series*. <https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-10952>
25. Kim, Y., Park, M., and Wier, B. 2023. ESG disclosure and investment outcomes. *The Accounting Review*, 98(4), 145-174. <https://doi.org/10.2308/TAR-2021-0337>
26. Li, X., Luo, Y., and Chen, Z. 2024. Corruption control and firm investment behavior international evidence. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 55(1), 88-109. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-023-00622-5>
27. Liao, L., Luo, L., and Tang, Q. 2023. Corporate governance structures transparency and financial reporting quality. *Accounting and Finance*, 63(4), 5211-5237. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.13021>
28. Luo, Y., Shenkar, O., and Nyaw, M. 2023. Corruption and global business strategy. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 54(5), 889-912. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-022-00553-3>
29. Majeed, M., and Ozturk, I. 2023. Governance quality and investment performance in emerging economies. *Economic Modelling*, 121, 106173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2023.106173>
30. Nguyen, T., Nguyen, H., and Tran, P. 2023. Institutional quality and firm investment decisions evidence from emerging markets. *Emerging Markets Review*, 56, 100994. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ememar.2023.100994>
31. Organisation for Economic Co operation and Development. 2023. *OECD corporate governance factbook 2023*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/cb30a3fe-en>
32. Organisation for Economic Co operation and Development. 2024. *Anti-corruption and integrity outlook 2024*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9b89e52a-en>
33. Salehi, M., Tarighi, H., and Safdari, S. 2024. Corporate governance mechanisms and financial transparency evidence from international firms. *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, 29(1), 912-928. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.2746>
34. Transparency International. 2024. *Corruption perceptions index 2024 global results*. Transparency International. <https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2024>
35. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. 2024. *World investment report 2024 investment facilitation and sustainable development*. UNCTAD. <https://doi.org/10.18356/9789210027652>
36. Uyar, A., Kuzey, C., and Kilic, M. 2023. Internal control systems and corporate governance. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 13(2), 339-360. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAEE-09-2021-0290>
37. Wang, Q., and You, J. 2024. Corruption control institutional governance and firm value. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 52(1), 140-158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jce.2023.09.004>
38. World Bank. 2024. *Worldwide governance indicators dataset 2024 update*. World Bank DataBank. <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/worldwide-governance-indicators>
39. World Bank. 2024. *World development indicators investment and corporate finance dataset*. World Bank Data Bank. <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>
40. Zhang, J., Gao, S., and Zhang, H. 2024. Governance compliance and firm performance international evidence. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 32(2), 189-210. <https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12505>
41. Zhou, Y., Li, W., and Zhao, X. 2023. Anti-corruption regulation and corporate investment efficiency. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 82, 102431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2023.102431>