



EFFECT OF STATIONARY CIRCUIT AND MOVING CIRCUIT TRAININGS ON SELECTED PHYSICAL FITNESS COMPONENTS AMONG UNIVERSITY MEN STUDENTS

Dr. M. Senthil Thangaraj

Director of Physical Education, Pachaiyappa's College for Men,
Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu, India

Cite This Article: Dr. M. Senthil Thangaraj, "Effect of Stationary Circuit and Moving Circuit Trainings on Selected Physical Fitness Components Among University Men Students", International Journal of Advanced Trends in Engineering and Technology, Volume 8, Issue 1, Page Number 92-95, 2023.

Abstract:

The purpose of the study was to find out the effects of stationary circuit training [SCT] and Moving Circuit Training [MCT] on selected physical fitness components namely Muscular Endurance [ME] and Cardio Respiratory Endurance [CRE] among university men students. To achieve this purpose of the study, forty-five men students studying men students studying Pachaiyappa's College for Men, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu, India were selected as subjects at random. Their age ranged between 18 to 24 years. The selected subjects were divided into three equal groups of fifteen each namely Stationary Circuit Training Group [SCTG], Moving Circuit Training Group [MCTG] and control group [CG]. The experimental group I underwent stationary circuit training [SCT] and group II underwent Moving circuit training [MCT] for three days per week for twelve weeks whereas the control group maintained their daily routine activities and no special training was given to them. The following physical fitness components, namely muscular endurance [ME] and Cardio Respiratory Endurance [CRE] were selected as criterion variables. The subjects of the three groups were tested on selected physical fitness components namely muscular endurance and cardio respiratory endurance using standardized tests namely bend knee sit-ups and Cooper's 12 min Run / Walk test at prior and immediately after the training period. The collected data were analyzed statistically through analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) to find out the significant difference, if any among the groups. Whenever they obtained "F" ratio was found to be significant, the Scheffe's test was applied as post hoc test to find out the paired mean difference, if any. The .05 level of confidence was fixed to test the level of significance which was considered appropriate. The results of the study showed that there was a significant difference exist among SCTG, MCTG and CG on selected physical fitness components namely ME and CRE. And also, SCTG and MCTG showed significant improvement on ME and CRE when compared to control group.

Key Words: Stationary Circuit Training [SCT], Moving Circuit Training [MCT], Muscular Endurance [ME], Cardio Respiratory Endurance [CRE], Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA).

Introduction:

Stationary circuit training is a highly effective form of exercise that involves performing a series of strength, endurance, and cardiovascular exercises in a continuous loop, with minimal rest in between. Unlike traditional circuit training, where participants move from one station to another, stationary circuit training involves performing all exercises in one spot. The concept of stationary circuit training revolves around the idea of maximizing efficiency and convenience while targeting multiple muscle groups and aspects of physical fitness simultaneously. It is often favored by individuals who have limited space, equipment, or time constraints, as it can be done virtually anywhere with minimal equipment. Stationary circuit training typically consists of a series of exercises arranged in a specific sequence, with each exercise targeting different muscle groups or fitness components such as strength, endurance, flexibility, or balance. Common exercises include bodyweight squats, push-ups, lunges, planks, jumping jacks, burpees, and high knees, among others.

The key principle of stationary circuit training is to keep the body moving throughout the workout, maintaining an elevated heart rate, and engaging multiple muscle groups to maximize calorie burn and improve overall fitness levels. By performing a variety of exercises back-to-back with minimal rest, participants can effectively challenge their cardiovascular system, build strength and endurance, and enhance muscular tone and definition.

Moving circuit training is a dynamic and versatile form of exercise that combines cardiovascular conditioning, strength training, and endurance building in a fast-paced circuit format. Unlike traditional circuit training where participants move from one exercise station to another, moving circuit training involves continuous movement throughout the circuit, incorporating exercises that engage multiple muscle groups and elevate the heart rate. The concept behind moving circuit training is to keep the body in constant motion, maximizing calorie burn and promoting overall fitness in a time-efficient manner. This form of training can be adapted to various fitness levels and preferences, allowing individuals to customize their workouts based on their goals and capabilities.

Moving circuit training often incorporates bodyweight exercises, resistance bands, free weights, and functional movements such as lunges, squats, push-ups, and burpees. It can be performed in various settings,

including gyms, outdoor spaces, and even at home with minimal equipment. One of the key benefits of moving circuit training is its ability to improve both cardiovascular health and muscular strength simultaneously. By challenging the body with a variety of exercises performed in quick succession, participants can enhance their endurance, agility, balance, and coordination while building lean muscle mass and burning calories.

Methodology:

The purpose of the study was to find out the effects of stationary circuit training [SCT] and Moving Circuit Training [MCT] on selected physical fitness components namely muscular endurance [ME] and Cardio Respiratory Endurance [CRE] among university men students. To achieve this purpose of the study, forty-five men students studying men students studying Pachaiyappa's College for Men, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu, India were selected as subjects at random. Their age ranged between 18 to 24 years. The selected subjects were divided into three equal groups of fifteen each namely Stationary Circuit Training Group [SCTG], Moving Circuit Training Group [MCTG] and Control Group [CG]. The experimental group I underwent stationary circuit training [SCT] and group II underwent Moving Circuit Training [MCT] for three days per week for twelve weeks whereas the control group maintained their daily routine activities and no special training was given to them. The following physical fitness components, namely Muscular Endurance [ME] and Cardio Respiratory Endurance [CRE] were selected as criterion variables. The subjects of the three groups were tested on selected physical fitness components namely muscular endurance and cardio respiratory endurance using standardized tests namely bend knee sit-ups and Cooper's 12 min Run / Walk test at prior and immediately after the training period. The collected data were analyzed statistically through analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) to find out the significant difference, if any among the groups. Whenever the obtained "F" ratio was found to be significant, the Scheffe's test was applied as post hoc test to find out the paired mean difference, if any. The .05 level of confidence was fixed to test the level of significance which was considered appropriate.

Training Programme:

During the training period, Group I underwent Stationary Circuit Training [SCT] and Group II underwent Moving Circuit Training [MCT] for three days per week (alternative days) for twelve weeks. Every day the workout lasted for 45 to 60 minutes approximately including warming up and warming down periods. Group III acted as control who did not participate in any strenuous physical exercises and specific training throughout the training period. However, they performed activities as per their curriculum.

Analysis of the Data:

The analysis of covariance on selected physical fitness components of SCTG, MCTG and CG have been analyzed and presented below.

Muscular Endurance:

The analysis of covariance on muscular endurance of the pre and post test scores of SCTG, MCTG and CG have been analyzed and presented in table 1.

Table 1: Analysis of Covariance of the Data on Muscular Endurance of Pre and Post Tests Scores of SCTG, MCTG and CG

Test	SCTG	MCTG	CG	SOV	SS	df	MS	OF
Pre Test								
Mean	34.27	33.53	33.87	Between	4.04	2	2.02	0.114
S.D.	3.80	4.39	3.96	Within	742.40	42	17.68	
Post Test								
Mean	36.33	38.73	34.13	Between	158.80	2	79.40	4.93*
S.D.	3.88	3.77	3.98	Within	676.00	42	16.10	
Adjusted Post Test								
Mean	35.98	39.07	34.15	Between	184.60	2	92.30	149.88*
				Within	25.25	41	0.62	

* Significant at .05 level of confidence.

(The table values required for significance at .05 level of confidence for 2 and 42 and 2 and 41 are 3.222 and 3.226 respectively).

The adjusted post-test means of SCTG, MCTG and CG are 35.98, 39.07 and 34.15 respectively. The obtained "F" ratio of 149.88 for adjusted post-test means is greater than the table value of 3.226 for df 1 and 42 required for significance at .05 level of confidence on muscular endurance.

Since, three groups were compared whenever the obtained "F" ratio for the adjusted post test was found to be significant, the Scheffe's test was applied as post hoc test to find out the paired mean differences, if any and it was presented in table 1A.

Table 1a: The Scheffe's Test for the Differences between Paired Means on Muscular Endurance

SCTG	MCTG	CG	MD	CI
35.98	39.07		3.09*	0.73
35.98		34.15	1.83*	0.73
	39.07	34.15	4.91*	0.73

* Significant at .05 level of confidence.

Table 1A showed that the mean difference values between SCTG and MCTG, SCTG and CG, MCTG and CG on muscular endurance were 3.09, 1.83 and 4.91 respectively which were greater than the required confidence interval value 0.73. The results of the study showed that there was a significant difference between SCTG and MCTG, SCTG and CG, MCTG and CG on muscular endurance.

Cardio Respiratory Endurance:

The analysis of covariance on cardio respiratory endurance of the pre and post test scores of SCTG, MCTG and CG have been analyzed and presented in table 2.

Table 2: Analysis of Covariance of the Data on Cardio Respiratory Endurance of Pre and Post Tests Scores of SCTG, MCTG and CG

Test	SCTG	MCTG	CG	SOV	SS	df	MS	OF
Pre Test								
Mean	1423.67	1418.00	1426.00	Between	507.78	2	253.89	0.54
S.D.	21.94	20.31	20.59	Within	19773.33	42	470.79	
Post Test								
Mean	1466.00	1586.00	1427.47	Between	205088.18	2	102544.09	238.90*
S.D.	27.28	6.11	20.51	Within	18027.73	42	429.23	
Adjusted Post Test								
Mean	1465.51	1588.03	1425.93	Between	208907.12	2	104453.56	303.45*
				Within	14113.13	41	344.22	

* Significant at .05 level of confidence.

(The table values required for significance at .05 level of confidence for 2 and 42 and 2 and 41 are 3.222 and 3.226 respectively).

The adjusted post-test means of SCTG, MCTG and CG are 1465.51, 1588.03 and 1425.93 respectively. The obtained "F" ratio of 303.45 for adjusted post-test means is greater than the table value of 3.226 for df 2 and 41 required for significance at .05 level of confidence on cardio respiratory endurance.

Since, three groups were compared whenever the obtained "F" ratio for the adjusted post test was found to be significant, the Scheffe's test was applied as post hoc test to find out the paired mean differences, if any and it was presented in table 2A.

Table 2a: The Scheffe's Test for the Differences between Paired Means on Cardio Respiratory Endurance

SCTG	MCTG	CG	MD	CI
1465.51	1588.03		122.52*	17.19
1465.51		1425.93	39.57*	17.19
	1588.03	1425.93	162.09*	17.19

* Significant at .05 level of confidence.

The table 2A showed that the mean difference values between SCTG and MCTG, SCTG and CG and MCTG and CG on cardio respiratory endurance were 122.52, 39.57 and 162.09 respectively which were greater than the required confidence interval value 17.19. The results of the study showed that there was a significant difference between SCTG and MCTG, SCTG and CG and MCTG and CG on cardio respiratory endurance.

Conclusions:

- The results of the study showed that there was a significant difference among stationary circuit training group, Moving circuit training group and control group on muscular endurance and cardio respiratory endurance.
- And also, it was showed that there was a significant change in muscular endurance and cardio respiratory endurance due to Stationary circuit training and moving circuit training.

References:

1. Baker, L. L., Ainsworth, B. E., & Baugh, E. (2011). Exercise Training after Rehabilitation. *American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*, 90(12), 1080-1088.
2. Baretta, G., De Aguiar, R. A., Schoenfeld, B. J., Silva, A. C., & Cadore, E. L. (2019). Concurrent training: functional circuit training modifications in older adults. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity*, 27(4), 579-586.
3. Dunn, M. S., Tabb, L. P., & Eddy, J. M. (2017). A Review of High-Intensity Interval Training for Coronary Artery Disease. *Physical Therapy*, 97(5), 52-57.
4. Laforgia, J., Withers, R. T., & Gore, C. J. (2006). Effects of exercise intensity and duration on the excess post-exercise oxygen consumption. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 24(12), 1247-1264.
5. Pal, S., & Ellis, V. (2010). The chronic effects of whey proteins on blood pressure, vascular function, and inflammatory markers in overweight individuals. *Obesity*, 18(7), 1354-1359.
6. Paoli, A., Moro, T., & Bianco, A. (2010). Lift weights to fight overweight. *Clinical Physiology and Functional Imaging*, 30(3), 182-187.

7. Ross, L. M., Porter, R. R., & Durstine, J. L. (2016). High-intensity interval training (HIIT) for patients with chronic diseases. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*, 5(2), 139-144.
8. Saris, W. H., & Schrauwen, P. (2004). Substrate oxidation differences between high- and low-intensity exercise are compensated over 24 hours in obese men. *International Journal of Obesity*, 28(6), 759-765.
9. Schleicher, E. A., & Sullivan, T. J. (2002). Stations of the Cross: A novel method for measuring exercise intensity during resistance training. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 16(3), 420-425.
10. Schleicher, E. A., Van Bruggen, M. D., & Litt, J. S. (2017). Stationary cycling during hemodialysis: Improved exercise tolerance over time. *Journal of Renal Nutrition*, 27(4), 292-297.
11. Schuenke, M. D., Mikat, R. P., & McBride, J. M. (2002). Effect of an acute period of resistance exercise on excess post-exercise oxygen consumption: Implications for body mass management. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 86(5), 411-417.
12. Smith, L. L., Winer, J., & Winer, S. (2001). The scientific basis of athletic conditioning: A physiological perspective. *Athletic Training and Sports Health Care*, 3(6), 238-243.
13. Strasser, B., & Schobersberger, W. (2011). Evidence for resistance training as a treatment therapy in obesity. *Journal of Obesity*, 2011, Article ID 482564.
14. Vilaca-Alves, J., Costa, A. M., Fernandes, H. M., Saavedra, F. J., & Pires, F. (2012). Effects of exercise program on physical fitness, body composition, and functional capacity in patients with hypertension: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 26(8), 2331-2338.
15. Weltman, A., Weltman, J. Y., Rutt, R., Seip, R. L., Levine, S., Snead, D., ... & Hartman, M. L. (2000). Percentages of maximal heart rate, heart rate reserve and VO₂max for determining endurance training intensity in male runners. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 21(02), 92-96.
16. Zeng, N., Pope, Z., Lee, J. E., & Gao, Z. (2018). Virtual Reality Exercise for Anxiety and Depression: A Preliminary Review of Current Research in an Emerging Field. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 7(3), 42.